

# Full Length Article

# Peanut-Waste Biochar and Buffalo Manure Decreased Nitrogen and Phosphorus Requirement of Maize Grown in an Alkaline Calcareous Soil

Muhammad Aon<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Muhammad Khalid<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Asif Naeem<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Zafar-ul-Hye<sup>1</sup>, Shahid Hussain<sup>1</sup>, Mubshar Hussain<sup>4</sup> and Zeshan Aslam<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, COMSATS University Islamabad, Vehari Campus, 61100, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agronomy, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence: dr.maon@bzu.edu.pk

## Abstract

A calcareous soil was used in a pot study to evaluate the effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM) on maize nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) demand. Three nitrogen-phosphorus fertilizer doses (NPDs) [0, 66 and 100%] were used along with organic amendments *i.e.*, control, 1.50% PB, 1.50% BM and 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM (on w/w basis of soil). At 66 and 100% NPD, statistically maximum dry biomass yield (40.0 and 38.3 g pot<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) at vegetative growth stage was achieved with 1.50% PB. Maximum ammonical-N (31.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), mineral-N (45.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and available P (20.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) contents were found in the soil amended with 1.50% PB at 100% NPD. For grain yield at crop maturity, comparative statistical results were obtained with 1.50% PB and 1.50% BM at both 66 or 100% NPDs. Maximum harvest index (40%) was with BM at 66% NPD. Overall, maximum N uptake (*i.e.*, 1.31 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) was with 1.50% PB at 66% NPD. Maximum P uptake was with PB at 100% NPD. At 66 and 100% NPDs, mineral-N and available P contents were significantly greater with organic amendments than respective controls, but, statistically comparable with each other. Conclusively, at vegetative stage, statistically maximum dry biomass yield was achieved at 66% NPD along with 1.50% PB addition. At maturity, grain yield achieved with 66% NPD was statistically similar at 1.50% PB and 1.50% BM. Therefore, addition of either 1.50% PB or BM can decrease requirement of mineral N and P fertilizers by about 34%. © 2018 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Fertilizer requirement; Maize; Nitrogen; Organic amendments; Phosphorus; Grain yield

# Introduction

Alkaline soils are located in arid to semiarid regions of Pakistan, United Kingdom, central Saudi Arabia, Jorden, central Sudan, Syria, Ireland, Lebanon, Yemen, Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and some other countries (FAO, 2011, 2015). Soils of arid and semi-arid regions are poor in nitrogen (N) and extractable phosphorus (P), and often very low in organic matter (<1%). Plants can use only half or even less of the applied N due to heavy losses from soil system through the volatilization and denitrification (Khan et al., 2014). Such soils have high total P content, however, P fertilizers cannot fulfill nutrient demands of crop plants because of precipitation with calcium (Ca) (Khan and Joergensen, 2006; Muhammad et al., 2008). Moreover, continuous deep tillage, indiscriminate use of agrochemicals, excessive use of marginal lands and reduction of the fallow period, have improved annual yields, but, at the expense of soil quality. In this way, the agricultural practices

are continuously deteriorating soil fertility (Kibblewhite *et al.*, 2008; Lal, 2008). Organic fertilizers and amendments may improve soil nutrient cycling and availability (Bruhn *et al.*, 2012; Kamara *et al.*, 2015). Organic soil amendments can be combined with mineral fertilizers, which ultimately help to overcome the ill-effects of the decades-long use of artificial nutrient sources (Jama *et al.*, 1997).

To maintain soil quality, organic soil amendments (*i.e.*, compost and manures) have already been used successfully (Scotti *et al.*, 2013; Shah and Shah, 2017). Due to higher decomposition rates and unstable carbon content, the benefits of above mentioned organic soil amendments are just for a short period of time (Jenkinson and Ayanaba, 1977). Within few cropping seasons, added organic soil amendments are usually completely mineralized (Bol, 2000). To sustain soil productivity, these organic amendments are, therefore, to be applied repeatedly after every year. According to Jenkinson *et al.* (1991), along with higher cost of

To cite this paper: Aon, M., M. Khalid, M.A. Naeem, M. Zafar-ul-Hye, S. Hussain, M. Hussain and Z. Aslam, 2018. Peanut-waste biochar and buffalo manure decreased nitrogen and phosphorus requirement of maize grown in an alkaline calcareous soil. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 20: 2661–2668

applied organic soil amendments, the mineralization and rapid decomposition of organic soil materials significantly contribute towards the global warming.

Just like other amendments, biochar may play a constructive role as an organic soil amendment (Woolf, 2008; Hamdani *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2016; Naeem *et al.*, 2017). Unlike some common organic amendments, however, biochar does not easily decompose in soils as its carbon is highly resistant against the microbial attack (Granatstein *et al.*, 2009; Hussain *et al.*, 2017). Biochar may significantly improve plant growth and yield by improving the efficiency of applied N fertilizers (Steiner *et al.*, 2008). Synergistic effect of biochar along with compost and NPK fertilizer has been observed by Schulz and Glaser (2012) for better plant growth and soil health.

Biochar addition at 1.5% (w/w) to alkaline soil significantly improved plant growth (Aon, 2015; Aon et al., 2015). Our previous results also showed that 100% recommended nitrogen-phosphorus dose (NPD) and 66% NDP + 1.50% peanut-waste biochar (PB) were comparable to get maximum statistical results at an early growth stage. However, at full vegetative and reproductive stages of maize, such comparison is still lacking for sole or combined response of PB and BM. Therefore, this study was planned to compare the sole and combined application effects of PB and BM for reducing N and P inorganic fertilizer demand of maize at vegetative and reproductive stages under nutrient deficient alkaline calcareous soil condition. Soil N and P dynamics were also studied to relate the effects of treatments with maize growth at vegetative stage and grain yield at reproductive stage.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Site Description and Collection of Experimental Material

A pot experiment was conducted in a rain-protected wirehouse, situated at research area of Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture-Faisalabad (UAF), Pakistan. For a pot experiment, soil was first air-dried, then passed through a sieve of 2 mm mesh size. Sieved soil was analyzed for various physico-chemical properties (Table 1).

From a peanut-factory located on Rajbah Road, Faisalabad (Pakistan), peanut-waste was collected to produce biochar. After oven-drying, peanut-factory waste was slowly pyrolyzed at low temperature, using a muffle furnace (Gallonhop, England) (Aon *et al.*, 2015). For this purpose, the adjustment of the reaction chamber temperature (per unit time) was at 8–9°C per min. A peak temperature of 300°C was maintained for 20 min. Buffalo manure (BM) was collected from livestock shed of UAF. Characteristics of PB and BM are mentioned in Table 2.

## **Experimental Setup**

Two-factorial completely randomized design was followed

for three NPDs (0, 66 and 100% of recommended inorganic N and P fertilizer) in all possible combinations with four levels of organic soil amendments (control. 1.50% PB. 1.50% BM and 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM). Organic soil amendments were used on dry weight (w/w) basis of soil used in this experiment. Fifteen kg soil was taken in each pot (pot depth and diameter were 65 and 45 cm, respectively) and all the treatments were replicated six times. Before seed sowing, 60 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> soil (450 mg pot<sup>-1</sup>) as sulfate of potash was applied uniformly to all the pots. As a recommended N and P fertilizer dose (100% NPD), 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (1800 mg N pot<sup>-1</sup>) and 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> (675 mg P  $pot^{-1}$ ) were applied as urea and single super phosphate, respectively. According to the treatment plan, full rate P fertilizer was added in pots. However, the mentioned rates of N fertilizer were applied in two equal splits *i.e.*, before seed sowing and one week after seed germination.

Three seeds of a maize hybrid (cv. Syngenta-6621) were sown in each pot. Thinning was done up to a single healthy plant per pot, after seven days of germination. Throughout the experimental period, all the pots were irrigated with tap water to maintain soil moisture content at field capacity. Weeds were removed manually.

## Sampling and Yield

At vegetative growth stage (55 days after sowing); three random replicates of each treatment were selected for growth, physiological and nutrient measurements. Remaining three replications were harvested at full maturity (115 days after sowing). Immediately after first and second harvesting, soil samples were collected and preserved in airtight zip-bags in an ultra-low temperature freezer (Robus Technologies) at 4°C.

At vegetable stage, fresh and dry weights were measured. Right from the germination time of each treatment, days were counted for tassel and silk initiation. Plant samples of both harvestings were first dried in the air and then in a forced air-driven oven (Eyela WFO-600ND, Tokyo, Japan) at 65°C, until constant weight of samples was achieved. Then, the weight of oven-dried plant samples was recorded.

## **Physiological Parameters**

After 55 days of sowing, fully opened top second leaf of each maize plant was taken for chlorophyll and gaseous exchange measurements. Physiological parameters of maize were recorded in the morning between 09 to 11 a.m. Chlorophyll meter, SPAD-502 (Konica-Minolta, Japan) was used to check the chlorophyll content of maize leaves. By using portable photosynthesis system (CIRAS-3, PP Systems-Hitchin, United Kingdom), rate of transpiration (E) and photosynthesis (A) were measured. Response of gas exchange to CO<sub>2</sub> was measured at 1.50 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PPF which was provided by a LED source at 0.06-2.00  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> (Long and Hallgreen, 1985).

Parameter	Unit	Value	Procedure		
Soil organic matter	%	0.78	Nelson and Sommers (1982)		
pH (1:1)		8.23	McLean (1982)		
Electrical conductivity	$dS m^{-1}$	1.13	Richards (1954)		
Textural class		Sandy	USDA classification		
		clay loam	(Bouyoucos, 1962)		
Sand	%	56.3	Gee and Bauder (1986)		
Silt	%	22.5			
Clay	%	21.2			
Cation exchange capacity	cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup>	6.75	Sumner and Miller (1996)		
Nitrogen	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	0.74	Jackson (1962)		
Phosphorus	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	4.36	Olsen and Sommers		
			(1982)		
Potassium	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	127	Richards (1954)		
Calcium carbonate	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	46.2	Leoppert et al. (1984)		
Zinc	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	2.12	Soltanpour and Schwab		
Copper	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	3.53	(1977)		
Iron	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	13.4			
Manganese	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	64.7			

**Table 1:** Physico-chemical properties of surface layer of

 Lyallpur soil series in the study

**Table 2:** Chemical, physical and elemental/nutritional ratio

 characteristics of peanut-waste biochar and buffalo manure

 used in the study

Characteristic	Unit	Peanut-waste	Buffalo
		biochar	manure
Physical/chemical			
Ash Content	%	13.8	18.1
Moisture Content	%	0.72	11.4
Conversion efficiency	%	64.2	
pH (1:20)		6.91	8.16
Electrical conductivity (1:20)	$dS m^{-1}$	1.62	4.18
Cation exchange capacity	cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup>	49.1	13.2
Nutritional/elemental composition			
Carbon	%	55.1	32.7
Hydrogen	%	5.03	4.35
Oxygen	%	23.5	ND
Nitrogen	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	26.1	15.2
Phosphorus	$g kg^{-1}$	6.73	7.62
Potassium	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	12.3	11.7
Calcium	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	20.6	11.3
Magnesium	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	14.3	14.8
Sulfur	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	5.95	0.30
Zinc	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	261	473
Copper	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	051	121
Iron	mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup>	418	178
Manganese	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	442	383
Elemental ratio			
Carbon : Nitrogen		21.1	21.5
Carbon : Phosphorus		81.9	43.1
Carbon : Sulfur		92.6	109
Molar ratio			
H:C		1.09	ND
O:C		0.32	ND
(O+N):C		0.34	ND

\*ND represents not determined

#### **Nutrient Measurements**

Grain and shoot samples were finely ground in Wiley-mill fitted with a chamber and stainless-steel blades. A known weight of ground shoot and grain samples was taken for digestion in  $H_2SO_4$  and  $H_2O_2$  (Wolf, 1982). For the determination of N content in shoot and grain samples,

Kjeldahl method was used (Jackson, 1962). Phosphorus contents in shoot and grain samples were determined on a spectrophotometer (UV-visible) after developing yellow color by vanadate-molybdate method (Chapman and Pratt, 1961).

#### Post-harvest soil analysis

Soil ammonium-nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N) was extracted by potassium sulfate method followed by determination on a spectrophotometer (Clare and Stevenson, 1964). Soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was extracted by ammonium bicarbonate-DTPA (Soltanpour and Schwab, 1977) followed by the hydrazine-reduction before measuring the absorbance on a spectrophotometer at 540 nm (Kamphake *et al.*, 1967). By adding soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N content, total mineral-N contents were calculated. Labile soil P was also extracted by AB-DTPA method and determined through the procedure proposed by Olsen and Sommers (1982).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Microsoft Excel-2013<sup>®</sup> (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA) was used for data computations. Significance of treatments was confirmed by running ANOVA test on Statistix 8.1<sup>®</sup> (Analytical Software, USA). Tukey's multiple comparison test ( $P \le 0.05$ ) was followed to compare the significance of different treatment means.

## Results

#### **Agronomical Traits**

At vegetative stage, 66% NPD + 1.50% PB resulted a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increase of 41 and 40% in fresh and dry biomass over respective control treatments (Fig. 1a, b). Maximum shoot dry biomass (40 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) was observed with 1.50% PB + 100% NPD; however, this was statistically comparable with 1.50% PB + 66% NPD.

Addition of 66% NPD + 1.50% PB significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) decreased (16%) the days to tasseling over the respective control (Fig. 2a). At 66% NPD, 1.50% PB and 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) decreased (14 and 13%, respectively) the days required for silking than respective control (Fig. 2b).

At 66% NPDs, 1.50% PB was most effective for improving dry biomass yield of maize plants by up to 2.0 folds as compared to its control (Fig. 3a). Regarding grain yield, at 66% NPD, both 1.50% PB and 1.50% BM amended treatments were statistically similar with each other, but significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) better than the respective control (Fig. 3b). Overall, maximum harvest index (39%) was obtained with 1.50% BM + 66% NPD (Fig. 3c).

#### **Physiological Traits**

As compared to control, 66% NPD + 1.50% PB resulted maximum statistical increase (14%) in chlorophyll contents.





**Fig. 1:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM) on fresh and dry biomass (fifty five days after sowing) of maize supplied with different nitrogenphosphorus fertilizer doses (NPDs). Recommended 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  error bars of standard deviation (SD). Treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test



**Fig. 2:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM) on days to (a) silking and (b) tasseling of maize supplied with different nitrogen-phosphorus fertilizer doses (NPDs). Recommended 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  error bars of standard deviation (SD). Treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test



**Fig. 3:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM) on (a) dry biomass, (b) grain yield and (c) harvest index of maize supplied with different nitrogenphosphorus fertilizer doses (NPDs). Recommended 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  error bars of standard deviation (SD). Treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test

With same treatment combination, photosynthetic rate was increased by 21% than respective control (Table 3). Addition of 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM at 66% NPD increased transpiration rate to a maximum value in the experiment (4.62 mmol  $H_2O$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Nitrogen and Phosphorus in Plant Tissues

At vegetative growth stage, comparing the different treatments at 66% NPD, only 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increased shoot N concentration than respective control (Table 4). At 1.50% PB, maximum increase in shoot N concentration (59%) was at 66% NPD over 0% NPD. Maximum shoot N concentration (7.97 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) at maturity was with 66% NPD + 1.50% PB. At both vegetative and reproductive stages, shoot P concentration was statistically comparable at different organic treatments at 66 and 100% NPDs. At 0% NPD, shoot P concentration at vegetative stage was maximum with 1.50% PB.

At both 66 and 100% NPDs, there was a nonsignificant effect of different organic amendments on grain N or P concentration (Table 5). **Table 3:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM), applied at three mineral fertilizer doses, on chlorophyll content, photosynthetic rate and transpiration rate at vegetative stage of maize

Organic amendments	Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer doses				
	(% of recommended)				
	0	66	100		
Chlorophyll content					
Control	19±1 f	32±1 c	35±1 ab		
1.50% PB	26±2 d	36±1 a	36±1 a		
1.50% BM	22±1 e	32±1 c	34±1 abc		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	24±1 e	33±2 bc	36±1 ab		
Photosynthetic rate ( $\mu$ mol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )					
Control	14±0 f	21±1 cd	25±1 ab		
1.50% PB	18±0 de	25±1 ab	25±2 ab		
1.50% BM	17±1 ef	23±1 abc	26±1 a		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	18±2 de	24±1 abc	22±1 bc		
Rate of transpiration (mmol $H_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )					
Control	2.4±0.0 d	4.0±0.3 abc	3.9±0.3 abc		
1.50% PB	3.2±0.2 bcd	3.8±0.3 abc	4.0±0.2 abc		
1.50% BM	2.6±0.2 d	3.6±0.3 bc	3.9±0.3 abc		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	3.2±0.2 cd	4.6±0.4 a	4.1±0.5 ab		

Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>; For each parameter, treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \leq 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test

**Table 4:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo

 manure (BM), applied at three mineral fertilizer doses, on

 nitrogen and phosphorus concentration in maize shoot

Organic amendments	Nitrogen and	Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer doses			
	(% of recommended)				
	0	66	100		
Shoot nitrogen concentration (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) at vegetative stage					
Control	7.7±0.4 d	14.4±0.4 b	15.7±0.8 ab		
1.50% PB	10.2±0.6 c	16.2±0.7 ab	15.6±1.1 ab		
1.50% BM	9.7±0.4 cd	15.5±0.6 ab	16.3±0.8 ab		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	10.6±0.6 c	16.7±1.3 a	15.6±0.9 ab		
Shoot nitrogen concentration (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) at maturity					
Control	$5.5 \pm 0.3^{NS}$	7.3±0.2	7.0±0.3		
1.50% PB	6.9±0.4	7.4±0.7	$7.5\pm0.5$		
1.50% BM	7.2±0.3	7.1±0.4	7.8±0.6		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	8.0±0.4	8.0±0.4	$7.8\pm0.5$		
Shoot phosphorus concentration	tion (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) at ve	getative stage			
Control	2.4±0.0 d	1.8±0.2 e	2.7±0.3 abc		
1.50% PB	3.2±0.2 bcd	2.5±0.2 b-d	3.3±0.2 a		
1.50% BM	2.6±0.2 d	2.0±0.1 de	2.8±0.3 abc		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	3.2±0.2 cd	2.4±0.2 с-е	3.1±0.3 ab		
Shoot phosphorus concentration $(g kg^{-1})$ at maturity					
Control	1.4±0.1 e	1.8±0.2 a-d	1.9±0.1 abc		
1.50% PB	1.6±0.1 b-e	2.0±0.1 a	2.0± 0.1 a		
1.50% BM	1.5±0.1 с-е	1.9±0.1 abc	2.0± 0.1 a		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	1.5±0.2 de	1.9±0.1 ab	1.9±0.2 abc		

Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>; For each parameter, treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \leq 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test; <sup>NS</sup>non-significant interaction effect

At 0% NPD, however, grain N and P concentration were increased respectively by 51 and 40% with 0.75% PB+ 0.75% BM than respective control. At maturity stage, maximum total N (88% greater than respective control) and P (49% greater than respective control) uptake were observed with 66% NPD + 1.50% PB (Fig. 4).

## **Soil Nutrient Dynamics**

At vegetative stage, statistically maximum (25% more than the respective control) soil  $NO_3^-N$  contents (15.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) were at 66% NPD + 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM (Table 6). At maturity, addition of 1.50% BM with 0 and 66% NPDs increased soil  $NO_3^-N$  contents by 2.8 and 1.5 folds, respectively, over their respective control treatments.

At vegetative stage, 1.50% PB + 66% NPD significantly increased (14%) soil  $NH_4^+$ -N contents than respective control (Table 6). Maximum soil  $NH_4^+$ -N contents (31.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) were at 100% NPD + 1.50% PB. At maturity, 66% NPD + 1.50% PB increased soil  $NH_4^+$ -N contents by 2.4 folds over respective control treatment.

At vegetative growth stage, comparing the different treatments with 1.50% PB, 100% NPD increased soil mineral-N contents by 3.1 folds than 0% NPD (Table 6). At maturity stage, comparing the different treatments at 0% NPD, 1.50% BM amended treatment increased mineral-N contents by 2.1 folds than the respective control treatment. At 66% NPD, 1.50% PB amended soil showed 1.9 folds greater mineral-N content over the respective control.

At vegetative growth stage, 1.50% PB amended treatments increased available P contents by 44 and 32% respectively at 66 and 100% NPD than respective control treatments (Table 6). With addition of 1.50% PB, a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increase in soil available P contents were observed with 66 and 100% NPDs (up to 2.3 and 2.5 folds, respectively) than 0% NPD. At maturity stage, at 0 and 66% NPDs, addition of 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM resulted increase in available P contents by 2.8 and 2.0 folds than their respective control treatments. However, maximum increase of 2.0 folds was observed with at 100% NPD + 1.50% BM than respective control.

## Discussion

Combine application of animal manures, crop residues and other organic amendments with inorganic fertilizers may be more productive than the sole application of inorganic fertilizers or organic amendments (Uzoma et al., 2011; Naeem et al., 2018). At vegetative growth stage, with different NPDs, 1.50% PB amended treatments performed better for improving maize growth (Fig. 1), and shoot N and P concentration (Table 4). Due to greater N content in biochars derived from animal manures, a significant increase in plant available N was observed (Wang et al., 2012). At higher pyrolysis temperature, N becomes progressively embodied in heterocyclic and aromatic structures as formation of these structures relate with pyrolysis temperature (Almendrosa et al., 2003). As a result, labile forms of N, i.e., proteins decrease at high pyrolysis temperature and vice versa (Wang et al., 2012).

**Table 5:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo

 manure (BM), applied at three mineral fertilizer doses, on

 nitrogen and phosphorus concentration in maize grain

Organic amendments	Nitrogen and phosphorus mineral fertilizer doses (% of recommended)				
-	0	66	100		
Grain nitrogen concentration (g kg	1)				
Control	11±1 e	19±2 a-d	18±1 a-d		
1.50% PB	16±2 d	21±1 ab	20±1 a-d		
1.50% BM	17±1 cd	21±1 ab	20±2 abc		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	17±1 b-d	22±2 a	20±1 a-d		
Grain phosphorus concentration (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )					
Control	3.2±0.2 d	5.2±0.2 ab	5.2±0.2 ab		
1.50% PB	4.4±0.2 bc	5.7±0.4 a	5.5±0.4 a		
1.50% BM	3.9±0.2 cd	5.4±0.2 a	5.9±0.3 a		
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	4.4±0.3 bc	5.6±0.3 a	5.4±0.5 a		

Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>; For each parameter, treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \leq 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test



**Fig. 4:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM) on (A) total N and P (B) uptake of maize supplied with different nitrogen-phosphorus fertilizer doses (NPDs). Recommended 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  error bars of standard deviation (SD). Treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test

In the current study, we used a low pyrolysis temperature (300°C) for PB production and that had a total of 2.61% N (Table 2). A significant improvement in growth (Fig. 1–3) and plant physiological attributes (Table 3) proved the effectiveness of low temperature produced PB.

At vegetative growth stage, highest soil mineral N contents were also obtained with 1.50% PB (Table 6). In low temperature produced biochar, the mineralization of organic N provides inorganic N in the soil (Schimel and Bennett, 2004); that may relate with growth and yield

response (Figs. 1–3) and shoot N concentration (Table 4). Soil application of high CEC containing biochar, improves  $NH_4^+$ -N retention capacity of soil (Zheng *et al.*, 2013). At maturity stage, better soil  $NH_4^+$ -N status in PB treatments could be due high CEC (49.1 cmol<sub>c</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) of PB along with its nutrient status (Table 2 and 6).

Only at vegetative stage, sole BM addition did not enhance maize growth (Fig. 1) or nutrient concentration in plant tissue (Table 4). This was possible as application of non-stabilized or semi-decomposed organic wastes to soil may initially lead to nutrient immobilization in soils (Butler *et al.*, 2001).

In 1.50% PB amended soil, improved P availability (Table 6) may be due to higher extractable P in biochars (Doan *et al.*, 2015). During feedstock pyrolysis, organic C starts to volatilize, but not P unless the temperature of pyrolysis reaches up to 700°C. Thus, pyrolysis of organic wastes may considerably enhance inorganic P by breaking organic P bonds with disproportionately volatilizing C portion (Knoepp *et al.*, 2005). At 0 and 66% NPDs, tasseling and silking were initiated earlier in 1.50% PB amended treatments, over the respective control and 1.50% BM amended treatments (Fig. 2). This may relate with improved P availability from soil (Table 6) as nutrient availability influences onset of crop growth stages (Jan *et al.*, 2018).

At vegetative growth stage, with 100% NPD, 1.50% BM amended treatment showed comparatively lower NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup>N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and available P contents than 1.50% PB amended treatment (Table 6). A temporary reduction in plant available N was might be due to the immobilization of mineral N in the soil (Butler *et al.*, 2001; Lamb *et al.*, 2014) and rapid N uptake by plants. Moreover, losses of ammonia, as high as 50%, have been reported for soils applied with animal manures (Terman, 1979). However, during the whole growing season, there is often a net gain of mineral-N in the animal residues amended soil after microbial bloom (Lamb *et al.*, 2014). This may be a possible reason of improved nutrient status in 1.50% BM and 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM amended treatments at later growth stage (Table 6).

In BM amended treatments, improved soil mineral N and P content at later growth stage confirmed mineralization by microbes. Moreover, maximum harvest index (Fig. 4) achieved as a result of 66% NPD with 1.50% BM addition was the indication towards improved soil nutrient availability at later growth stage. Growth, yield, and grain and shoot nutrient parameters at maturity were comparable at different organic treatments at respective 100 and 66% NPDs (Fig. 3; Tables 5, 6). We could not find any further significant increase in the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of maize, with combined 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM addition over their respective sole applications of 1.50% PB or 1.50% BM. However, effects of PB may be long lasting as it has more stabilized organic C than BM.

**Table 6:** Effect of peanut-waste biochar (PB) and buffalo manure (BM), applied at three mineral fertilizer doses, on soil nitrate-nitrogen, ammonium-nitrogen, mineral-nitrogen and available phosphorus content

Treatment	Nitrogen and phosphorus mineral fertilizer doses (% of recommended)					
	0	66	100	0	66	100
		At vegetative stage				
Nitrate-nitrogen (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)						
Control	2.8±0.4 f	12.3±0.9 cd	12.8±0.8 b-d	2.2±0.2 g	3.76±0.3 f	3.7±0.2 f
1.50% PB	5.2±0.4 e	12.1±0.8 cd	14.5±0.8 ab	4.8±0.3 e	4.81±0.3 e	6.7±0.3 ab
1.50% BM	$3.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ ef}$	11.9±0.6 cd	11.5±1.0 d	6.2±0.5 bc	5.59±0.5 cd	6.3±0.2 b
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	5.1±0.4 f	15.4±1.8 a	13.4±0.8 bc	5.3±0.5 de	5.54±0.4 cd	7.3±0.5 a
Ammonical-nitrogen (mg kg $^{-1}$ soil)						
Control	4.1±0.3 e	24.8±2.1 bc	25.6±1.6 bc	4.6±0.3 g	4.9±0.3 fg	5.9±0.1 ef
1.50% PB	9.4±0.6 d	28.2±2.2 ab	31.3±1.6 a	7.3±0.8 cd	11.7±1.2 a	11.4±0.9 a
1.50% BM	8.9±0.5 de	23.8±1.7 bc	25.1±2.1 bc	7.8±0.7 c	10.6±0.8 ab	11.6±0.5 a
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	8.2±0.5 de	21.9±1.3 c	27.2±2.6 ab	6.2±0.4 de	10.6±0.6 ab	9.5±0.5 b
Mineral-nitrogen (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> soil)						
Control	6.9±0.1 d	37.1±1.3 b	38.4±2.2 b	6.8±0.5 g	8.7±0.2 f	9.6±0.2 f
1.50% PB	14.6±0.3 c	40.2±1.7 b	45.8±2.4 a	12.1±0.9 e	16.5±1.6 bc	18.1±1.1 a
1.50% BM	12.6±0.5 c	35.7±2.2 b	36.6±3.1 b	14.0±1.2 d	16.2±1.2 c	17.9±0.7 ab
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	13.3±0.4 c	37.3±2.6 b	40.6±3.2 ab	11.5±0.9 e	16.2±0.6 c	16.9±0.9 abc
Available phosphorus content (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> soil)						
Control	5.5±0.2 f	14.8±0.5 cd	14.3±0.8 d	3.1±0.2 f	5.3±0.4 e	5.5±0.5 e
1.50% PB	8.2±0.4 e	19.6±1.4 a	20.6±1.3 a	7.2±0.4 d	9.3±0.5 bc	9.7±0.5 abc
1.50% BM	6.1±0.5 ef	15.4±1.3 b-d	17.3±1.2 b	8.2±0.5 cd	9.7±0.6 abc	11.2±0.8 a
0.75% PB + 0.75% BM	7.6±0.4 ef	13.5±0.8 d	16.5±0.6 bc	8.6±0.4 cd	10.8±0.4 ab	10.6±0.9 ab

Values are means of 3 replications  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); 100% NPD was 240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + 90 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>; For each parameter, treatment sharing the similar letter(s) with each other do not had significant difference at  $P \le 0.05$  based on Tukey-HSD test

# Conclusion

At vegetative stage, 1.50% PB with 66 or 100% NPDs increased dry matter yield than respective control, 1.50% BM and 0.75% PB + 0.75% BM. This was related with greater availability of soil N and P with with low temperature (300°C) produced PB than with other treatments. At maturity, however, 1.50% PB and 1.50% BM amended treatments likewise improved dry biomass and grain yield at both 66 and 100% NPDs. Moreover, mineral-N and available P contents with either PB or BM were statistically at par at 66 and 100% NPDs, but, statistically more than respective controls. Conclusively, additions of 1.50% PB and BM similarly improved grain yield, and decreased N and P fertilizer demand of maize by about 34%. Long-term comparison of biochar and animal manures is, however, required to test sustainable response of applied treatments.

#### Acknowledgments

Funds for the study were provided by Higher Education Commission, Islamabad (Pakistan).

## References

- Almendrosa, G., H. Knicker, H. Gonz and F.J. Vilac, 2003. Rearrangement of carbon and nitrogen forms in peat after progressive thermal oxidation as determined by solid-state <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>NNMR spectroscopy. Org. Geochem., 34: 1559–1568
- Aon, M., 2015. Nitrogen and phosphorus availability to maize in biochar amended calcareous soil. *Ph.D. (Dissertation)*, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

- Aon, M., M. Khalid, Z.A. Zahir and R. Ahmad, 2015. Low temperature produced citrus peel and green waste biochar improved maize growth and nutrient uptake, and chemical properties of calcareous soil. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 52: 627–636
- Bol, R., 2000. Tracing dung-derived carbon in temperate grassland using <sup>13</sup>C natural abundance measurements. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 32: 1337– 1343
- Bouyoucoa, G., 1962. Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. Agron. J., 54: 464–465
- Bruhn, S.T., J. Bloem, F.T.D. Vries, K. Kalbitz and C. Wagg, 2012. Linking soil biodiversity and agricultural management. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, 4: 523–528
- Butler, T.A., L.A. Sikora, P.M. Teeinhilber and L.W. Douglass, 2001. Compost age and sample storage effects on maturity indicators of biosolids compost. J. Environ. Qual., 30: 2141–2148
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt, 1961. Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters. University of California, Division of Agriculture Science Riverside, California, USA
- Clare, N.T. and A.E. Stevenson, 1964. Measurement of feed intake by grazing cattle and sheep: Determination of nitrogen in feces and feeds using an Auto Analyzer. *New Zeal. J. Agric. Res.*, 7: 198–204
- Doan, T.T., T.H. Tureaux, C. Rumpel, J.L. Janeau and P. Jouquet, 2015. Impact of compost, vermicompost and biochar on soil fertility, maize yield and soil erosion in Northern Vietnam: A three year mesocosm experiment. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 514: 147–154
- FAO, 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps
- FAO, 2011. Agriculture, Food, and Water: A Contribution to the World Water Development Report, Rome, Italy
- Gee, G.W. and J.W. Bauder, 1986. Particle-size Analysis. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods, Monographs, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., pp: 383–411. Klute A. (ed.). Soil Science of America, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, USA
- Granatstein, D., C. Kruger, H.P. Collins, M.G. Perez and J. Yoder, 2009. Use of Biochar from the Pyrolysis of Waste Organic Material as a Soil Amendment. Centre for Sustaining Agriculture and Natural Research, WSDA Interagency Agreement, Washington State University, Wenatchee, Washington, USA

- Hamdani, S.A.F., M. Aon, L. Ali, Z. Aslam, M. Khalid and M. Naveed, 2017. Application of *Dalbergia sissoo* biochar enhances wheat growth, yield and nutrient recovery under reduced fertilizer doses in calcareous soil. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 54: 107–115
- Hussain, M., M. Farooq, A. Nawaz, A.M. Al-Sadi, Z.M. Solaiman, S.S. Alghamdi, U. Ammara, Y.S. Ok and K.H.M. Siddique. 2017. Biochar for crop production: potential benefits and risks. *J. Soils Sedim.*, 17: 685–716
- Jackson, M.L., 1962. Soil Chemical Analysis. Constable Co. Ltd., London
- Jama, B., R.A. Swinkles and R.J. Buresh, 1997. Agronomic and economic evaluation of organic and inorganic phosphorus in western Kenya. *Agron. J.*, 89: 597–604
- Jan, M.F., W. Liaqat, H. Ahmad, M.D. Ahmadzai and W. Rehan, 2018. Phenology, Growth, Yield and Yield Components of Maize (Zea mays L) Hybrids to Different Levels of Mineral Potassium under Semiarid Climate. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Nat. Resour., 9: 1-4
- Jenkinson, D.S. and A. Ayanaba, 1977. Decomposition of carbon-14 labeled plant material under tropical conditions. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer.* J., 41: 912–915
- Jenkinson, D.S., D.E. Adams and A. Wild, 1991. Model estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> from soil in response to global warming. *Nature*, 351: 304–306
- Kamara, A., S.K. Hawanatu and S.K. Mohamed, 2015. Effect of rice straw biochar on soil quality and the early growth and biomass yield of two rice varieties. *Agric. Sci.*, 6: 798–806
- Kamphake, L.J., S.A. Hannah and J.M. Cohen, 1967. Automated analysis for nitrate by hydrazine reduction. *Water Res.*, 1: 205–216
- Khan, K.S. and R.G. Joergensen, 2006. Microbial C, N and P relationships in moisture-stressed soils of Potohar, Pakistan. *Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 169: 494–500
- Khan, M.J., A. Malik, M. Zaman, Q. Khan, H. ur Rehman and Kalimullah, 2014. Nitrogen use efficiency and yield of maize crop as affected by agrotain coated urea in arid calcareous soils. *Soil Environ.*, 33: 01–06
- Kibblewhite, M.G., K. Ritz and M.J. Swift, 2008. Soil health in agricultural systems. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London B: Biol. Sci.*, 363: 685–701
- Knoepp, J.D., L.F. Debano and D.G. Neary, 2005. Soil chemistry. In: Wildland free in ecosystems: effects of fire on soil and water, Vol. 4, pp: 53-71. Neary, D.G., K.C. Ryan, L.F. Debano (Eds.). Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, US Department of Agriculture, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
- Lal, R., 2008. Soils and sustainable agriculture: Review. Agron. Sustain. Dev., 28: 57–64
- Lamb, J.A., G.F. Fabian and D.E. Kaiser, 2014. Extension Specialists in Nutrient Management. University of Minnesota (Extension), USA
- Leoppert, R.H., C.T. Hallmark and M.M. Koshy, 1984. Routine procedure for rapid determination of soil carbonates. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.*, 48: 1030–1033
- Long, S.P. and J.E. Hallgreen, 1985. Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by plants in the field and in the laboratory. *In: Techniques in Bioproductivity and Photosynthesis*, pp: 62–94. Coombs, J., D.O. Hall and S.P. Long (eds.). Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK
- McLean, E.O., 1982. Soil pH and Lime Requirement. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, pp: 199–224. Page, L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (eds.). SSSA/ASA, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Muhammad, S., T. Muller and R.G. Joergensen, 2008. Relationships between soil biological and other soil properties in saline and alkaline arable soils from the Pakistani Punjab. *Arid Environ.*, 72: 448–457
- Naeem, M.A., M. Khalid, M. Aon, G. Abbas, M. Amjad, B. Murtaza, W.U.D. Khan and N. Ahmad, 2018. Combined application of biochar with compost and fertilizer improves soil properties and grain yield of maize. J. Plant. Nutr., 41: 112–122

- Naeem, M.A., M. Khalid, M. Aon, G. Abbas, M. Tahir, M. Amjad, B. Murtaza, A. Yang and S.S. Akhtar, 2017. Effect of wheat and rice straw biochar produced at different temperatures on maize growth and nutrient dynamics of a calcareous soil. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 63: 2048–2061
- Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers, 1982. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. *In: Methods of Soil Analysis, part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, pp: 570–571. Klute, A. (ed.). American Society of Agronomy. Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Olsen, S.R. and L.E. Sommers, 1982. Phosphours. In: Methods of soil analysis: American Society of Agronomy, pp: 403–430. Page, A.L. (ed.). Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Richards, L.A., 1954. *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soil.* USDA Agriculture Handbook 60. Washington DC, USA
- Schimel, J.P. and J. Bennett, 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology*, 85: 591–602
- Schulz, H. and B. Glaser, 2012. Effects of biochar compared to organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil quality and plant growth in a greenhouse experiment. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 175: 410–422
- Scotti, R., P. Conte, A.E. Berns, G. Alonzo and M.A. Rao, 2013.Effect of organic amendments on the evolution of soil organic matter in soils stressed by intensive agricultural practices. *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 17: 2998–3005
- Shah, T. and Z. Shah, 2017. Soil respiration, pH and EC as influenced by biochar. Soil Environ., 36: 77–83
- Soltanpour, P.N. and A.P. Schwab, 1977.A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro and micronutrients in alkaline soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 8: 195–207
- Steiner, C., B. Glaser, W.G. Teixeira, J. Lehmann, W.E.H. Blum and W. Zech, 2008. Nitrogen retention and plant uptake on a highly weathered central Amazonian ferralsol amended with compost and charcoal. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 171: 893–899
- Sumner, M.E. and W.P. Miller, 1996. Cation exchange capacity and exchange coefficients. In: Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3 – Chemical Methods, pp: 1201–1229. SSSA and ASA, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Terman, 1979. Volatilization losses of nitrogen as ammonia from surfaceapplied fertilizers, organic amendments, and crop residues. *Adv. Agron.*, 31: 189–223
- Uzoma, K.C., M. Inoue, H. Andry, H. Fujimaki, A. Zahoor and E. Nihihara, 2011. Effect of cow dung biochar on maize productivity under sandy soil condition. *Soil Use Manage.*, 27: 205–212
- Wang, T.M.C., H.M. Arbestain and P. Bishop, 2012. Chemical and bioassay characterisation of nitrogen availability in biochar produced from dairy manure and biosolids. *Org. Geochem.*, 51: 45–54
- Wolf, B., 1982. A comprehensive system of leaf analysis and its use for diagnosing crop nutrient status. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 13: 1035–1059
- Woolf, D., 2008. Biochar as a Soil Amendment: A Review of the Environmental Implications, pp: 1–31
- Zhang, J., Z. Zhang, G. Shen, R. Wang, L. Gao, F. Kong and J. Zhang, 2016. Growth performance, nutrient absorption of tobacco and soil fertility after straw biochar application. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 18: 983–989
- Zheng, H., Z. Wang, X. Deng, S. Herbert and B. Xing, 2013. Impacts of adding biochar on nitrogen retention and bioavailability in agricultural soil. *Geoderma*, 206: 32–39

#### (Received 06 March 2018; Accept 02 July 2018)